

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

<b>JOSEPH (“JAY”) V. PATERNO,</b>	:	<b>CIVIL ACTION</b>
<b>et al.,</b>	:	
<b>Plaintiffs</b>	:	
	:	
<b>vs.</b>	:	<b>NO. 14-4365</b>
	:	
<b>THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE</b>	:	
<b>UNIVERSITY,</b>	:	
<b>Defendant</b>	:	

**ORDER**

**AND NOW**, this 25th day of February, 2016, upon consideration of the defendant’s motion to dismiss (Document #14), the plaintiffs’ response thereto (Document #21), and the defendant’s reply brief (Document #22), **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the motion is **GRANTED**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that:

1. Counts I and III are **DISMISSED** with prejudice.
2. Because I decline to exercise supplemental jurisdiction, the remaining state law claims are **DISMISSED** without prejudice.
3. The Clerk of Court is directed to mark this case **CLOSED** for all purposes.

**BY THE COURT:**

/s/ Lawrence F. Stengel  
**LAWRENCE F. STENGEL, J.**